

Concept Paper

Not peer-reviewed version

Advancement in Research of Plant Beneficial Microorganisms: From Fermentation to Formulation Strategies and Applications in Agriculture

[Maria Vassileva](#) , Stefano Mocali , [Vanessa Martos](#) , [Luis F Garcia del Moral](#) , [Nikolay Vassilev](#) *

Posted Date: 5 August 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202408.0286.v1

Keywords: plant beneficial microorganisms; fermentation; formulation; optimization; future tendencies



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Opinion

Advancement in Research of Plant Beneficial Microorganisms: From Fermentation to Formulation Strategies and Applications in Agriculture

Maria Vassileva ^{1,*}, Stefano Mocali ^{2,*}, Vanessa Martos ^{3,*}, Luis F. García del Moral ^{3,*} and Nikolay Vassilev ^{1,*}

¹ University of Granada, Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Biotechnology, Granada-18071, Spain;

² Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of the Agricultural Economy, Research Centre for Agriculture and Environment 501125 Firenze, Italy;

³ University of Granada, Department of Plant Physiology, Granada-18071

* Correspondence: mvass82@yahoo.com (M.V.); mocali@crea.gov.it (S.M.); vane@ugr.es (V.M.); lfgm@ugr.es (L.F.d.M.); nbvass@yahoo.com (N.V.)

Abstract: In the last years, different biotechnological approaches were developed to reduce the indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides and enhance plant growth and health. The most attractive, safe, and environmentally mild alternatives include those based on plant beneficial microorganisms. After a long period of studies on isolation, selection, and characterisation of plant-beneficial microorganisms, the main lines of research have been oriented to optimising the fermentation processes to produce high-quality and large volumes of biomass/spores and their further formulation. However, further well-structured schemes for improvement of all main steps of the production of bio-formulates should be developed following the ideas based on “healthy soil-healthy plants-healthy humans”.

Keywords: plant beneficial microorganisms; fermentation; *formulation*; optimization; future tendencies

1. Introduction

Plant beneficial microorganisms (both biofertilizers and biocontrol agents) are increasingly recognized as a sustainable alternative to chemical fertilizers due to their numerous benefits for agriculture, the environment, and human health. One of the most cited definitions of biofertilizers was described by Malusa and Vassilev 10 years ago [1]: A biofertilizer could be defined as the formulated product containing one or more microorganisms that enhance the nutrient status (and the growth and yield) of plants by either replacing soil nutrients and/or by making nutrients more available to plants and/or by increasing plant access to nutrients. It should be noted that this definition differentiates biofertilization from biological control. While the emphasis of biofertilization is on the effects of plant beneficial microorganisms that improve plant growth, stress tolerance and quality, biocontrol agents reduce/suppress plant pathogens partially or completely by producing metabolically inhibitory substances or, indirectly, by increasing the natural resistance of the plant. Both terms are not specific and do not differentiate between bacteria, fungi, and other organisms that have plant growth promoting or biological control capacity [2]. The multifunctional properties of microorganisms should be mentioned, which can exert plant growth-promoting and biocontrol activity simultaneously [3]. From both scientific and practical point of view, the production and widespread adoption of biofertilizers face several bottlenecks that need to be addressed to fully realize their potential benefits. After a long period of studies oriented to isolation, selection, and characterization of plant beneficial microorganisms, during the last years the main lines of research

are focused on optimization of the fermentation processes for production of high-quality and large volumes of biomass/spores and their further formulation [4]. It is also important to study and analyze the whole biotechnological chain for biofertilizer/biocontrol production as all their parts are interdependent what is particularly true for fermentation-formulation and even storage-application processes and procedures [5,6].

The production and widespread adoption of bioformulates face several bottlenecks that need to be addressed to fully realize their potential benefits. Some of the main challenges at production level are the scale-up of the laboratory technologies, cost of production, and quality control. Comparing to chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers need special equipment; selected, cheap and available substrates; and controlled optimized conditions for the microbial growth. Further scaling-up of the process should maintain the viability and safety of the selected microorganism and its efficacy while avoiding contamination and variability of the strain bearing in mind the dual nature (opportunistic pathogenicity) of the majority of the soil microorganisms [6–9]. More research is needed to identify, develop, and characterized functionally microbial strains, with a stable effective interaction with plant/soil systems and optimize their production and formulation.

Production Details

What we know is that the production process could be carried out in solid-state or submerged conditions. Many research groups work on just one of these production options. However, it would be of great utility for the biotechnological companies producing plant beneficial microorganisms to have the possibility of production mode selection. Therefore, if the strain is able to grow and develop sufficient amount of biomass/spores in solid-state and submerged conditions, it could be better to offer two production schemes. Both processes offer specific advantages and disadvantages, which are well known in general although producing different bioproducts on different media and using different microorganisms [10,11]. Solid state fermentation and submerged liquid fermentation differently affect microbial growth and microbial metabolic activity and in some cases the control and management of the overall microbial development in both processes are environmentally dependent. For example, recently we found that a simple medium buffering increases the growth of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* in conditions of liquid submerged fermentation (unpublished results, see the Acknowledgements). However, the same strain showed higher spore formation in solid-state compared to spore formation in submerged fermentation with the number of CFU/ml always depending on the type of the solid substrate. Our experience confirmed the results of other authors that solid-state fermentation is advantageous comparing to submerged process offering easier final formulation combined with product viability after longer periods of storage [12].

On the other hand, the liquid submerged fermentation is easier to control and can produce more rapidly the desired biomass and/or plant beneficial microbial metabolites. Liquid-medium-based agitated processes provide many advantages compared to solid substrate-based fermentations. One of the main advantages is the homogenous distribution of both nutrients and oxygen in the bioreactor. In liquid agitated bioprocess manipulation of the environmental conditions followed by the respective microbial behavior changes is easier. In the field of microbial production there are still many unexploited biotechnological schemes. For example, fed-batch mode of fermentation, which is used in some biotechnological small- and large-scale processes, is not tested in the production of many biofertilizer and biocontrol microorganisms. Similarly, processes with immobilized cells, biomass recycling, and continuous fermentations are not applied in this field although they offer a number of technological and economic advantages. Here, we should mention the fact that microbial live cells are usually attached to surfaces or immobilized within soil particles (6) and some of the above processes can rely on these cell properties.

Formulation Connection

The mode of fermentation to great extent determines the mode of formulation and the type of the commercial product [4,13]. The final products of solid-state fermentation consist of [2]:

- the solid, partially degraded particles, usually lignocellulosic waste materials, which in many cases serve simultaneously as a support and substrate.
- Microbial biomass (including spores) in the form of more or less well-developed mycelium layer or bacterial cells within the substrate pores and/or on their surface.
- Metabolites, produced during the fermentation process with plant beneficial properties.

After drying and milling, this material could be used directly as a commercial product. Another possibility is to separate the spores of the post-fermentation material and, after mixing with solid cell- viability-enhancing protectors, can be used in soil-plant systems. The third option is to extract the metabolite part of the mixture and form a cell-free product [13,14]. While the first two options are well known, the third one is still in its infancy. However, our opinion is that the development of such kind of cell-free microbial plant beneficial metabolite-based bioformulates is the future of the bio-based Agriculture by many reasons. The main one is the lack of necessity of a cell- or spore-based formulate for further adaptation and development in a soil-plant system. Fermentation liquid free of cells contains many metabolites, some of which, could stimulate growth and activity of other microorganisms in soil or in bioreactors. In a highly complex medium such as soil, with millions of microorganisms in small volumes, interchanging metabolites and specific growth substances is a natural process within a given microbial community, which also affects phenomena such quorum sensing, biofilm formation and interactions between plants and plant beneficial (or pathogenic) autochthonous/introduced microorganisms [15]. Therefore, introducing metabolite-containing cell-free post-fermentation liquid could be a challenge as well and needs additional studies.

Another very attractive technique for formulation is the macro- and micro-encapsulation of cells and spores of plant beneficial microorganisms and particularly of double [16], triple, and multiple microbial gel-based formulates, which could also include phyto- or microbial stimulants [17]. In general, gel-entrapped biological systems offer many advantages such as better survival during storage and slow release of their content in soil while protecting it from harsh soil conditions. Additional advantage is that they could be used also in fermentation processes to produce the above-mentioned metabolites or mineral fertilizers if we can use microorganisms, which solubilize insoluble mineral-bearing materials [18].

Final Remarks: Effect on Microbial Structure and Relation to the Overall Holobiome

An important feature of plant beneficial microorganisms is represented by their abiotic and biotic stress fighting capabilities. It is another complex issue, which in soil-plant systems depends also on the mode of microbial production and formulation. Analyzing the results which we obtained in this field of research within EU funded projects (see the Acknowledgements), it appeared that the microorganisms feel better and are more efficient in immobilized state in conditions of high salinity and high/low pH as sole or combined stress factors [19].

Finally, the effect of microbial formulates on the belowground biological objects and particularly on the microbial communities should be noted. One very attractive and useful technique to manage different microbial communities is to develop methods, which could be able to predict how different species assemblages can affect the composition of the community. At this moment, we pay attention on the diverse physical, biological, and ecological processes governing microbial changes, which is based on a highly limited information. However, applying the advantages of deep learning all these constrains and limitations can be eliminated [20].

Overall, we could finish this short story interrelating the human microbiome, animal microbiome, and plant beneficial microorganisms [21]. Following the One Health approach, we should not only register the change of the soil-plant related microorganisms but change the overall strategy of managing the traditional microbial-plant profile. Many studies just show the increase of biodiversity and community changes as a result of soil microbial inoculation but in fact it is a natural process, which can be observed after whichever physical, chemical, and biological change in the soil environment (particularly soil salinity, temperature, and soil pH) [22–24]. What should be more attractive, and challenging, is to use already selected and well-known microorganisms beneficial for humans, such as probiotics, in soil-plant systems. Enrichment of plant biomass with probiotics and

their consumption will return the naturally existing cycle of minerals and microorganisms within the holobiomes. Similarly, the future studies should be oriented towards personalized individual and/or complex application of different prebiotics, probiotics, synbiotics, as basic or alternative technologies for developing mixed plant- and pharma-based products, which could improve the overall status and/or treat different human and agricultural deficiencies. In any case, preliminary large studies of microbial functions by multi-omics approach could be important with a subsequent test under various agricultural conditions. Further optimized fermentation processes aiming at rapid production of high microbial biomass or spore density based on substrates which could be included in the formulated products, is the next essential step. Formulation or preparation of the commercial product following the fermentation stage should be always interrelated to both the fermentation process and the soil-plant characteristics, which will determine the method and the composition of the formulate.

Therefore, a strong relation between the traditional and novel approaches in classical and new Biotechnology should be further developed (always based on the achievements of previous studies) to obtain highly efficient and multifunctional bio-based formulates which should satisfy the consumer needs for a healthy and tasty [25] agricultural products.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization MV, NV; writing—original draft preparation, MV; writing—MV, SM, VM, LFMG, NV. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was related to the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program, project EXCALIBUR under grant agreement No. 817946 and partly by the EU project SUSTAINABLE, EU grant agreement no. 101007702.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Malusà, E. Vassilev N., A contribution to set a legal framework for biofertilisers. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **2014**, 98 6599–6607. doi:10.1007/s00253-014-5828-y.
2. Vassilev N., Mendes G. de Oliveira, Chapter 19 - Solid-State Fermentation and Plant-Beneficial Microorganisms, Editor(s): Ashok Pandey, Christian Larroche, Carlos Ricardo Soccol, Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Elsevier, 2018, Pages 435-450, doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63990-5.00019-0
3. Vassileva M, Serrano M, Bravo V, Jurado E, Nikolaeva I, Martos V, Vassilev N Multifunctional properties of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms grown on agro-industrial wastes in fermentation and soil conditions. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **2010**, 85, 1287–1299. doi:10.1007/s00253-009-2366-0.
4. Vassileva, M.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Malusà, E.; Vassilev, N. Towards Better Understanding of the Interactions and Efficient Application of Plant Beneficial Prebiotics, Probiotics, Postbiotics and Synbiotics. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1068.
5. Malusà E., Berg G., Biere A., Bohr A., Canfora L., Jungblut A.D., Kepka W., Kienzle J., Kusstatscher P., Masquelier S., Pugliese M., Razinger J., Tommasini M.G., Meyling N., Vassilev N., Xu X., Mocali S. A Holistic Approach for Enhancing the Efficacy of Soil Microbial Inoculants in Agriculture: From Lab to Field Scale. *Global J. Agricul. Innov. Res. Devel.*, **2021** 8, 176-190.
6. Vassileva, M.; Malusà, E.; Sas-Paszt, L.; Trzcinski, P.; Galvez, A.; Flor-Peregrin, E.; Shilev, S.; Canfora, L.; Mocali, S.; Vassilev, N. Fermentation Strategies to Improve Soil Bio-Inoculant Production and Quality. *Microorganisms*, **2021**, *9*, 1254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9061254>
7. Vassileva M, Mocali S, Canfora L, Malusà E, García del Moral LF, Martos V, Flor-Peregrin E and Vassilev N Safety Level of Microorganism-Bearing Products Applied in Soil-Plant Systems. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **2022**, *13*, 862875. <http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.862875>
8. Marian C, Sanjar F, Maxwell C, Sabitu F, Hubbard N, Ratib N, Vassilev N, Sansinenea E A paradigm for the contextual safety assessment of agricultural microbes: a closer look at *Klebsiella variicola*. *Front. Ind. Microbiol.* **2024**, *2*:1412302. doi: 10.3389/finmi.2024.1412302
9. Vassileva, M.; Malusà, E.; Eichler-Löbermann, B.; Vassilev, N. *Aspegillus terreus*: From Soil to Industry and Back. *Microorganisms*, **2020**, *8*, 1655. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111655>
10. Ajala AS, Adeoye AO, Olaniyan SA, Fasonyin OT A study on effect of fermentation conditions on citric acid production from cassava peels. *Sci African*, **2020**, *8*, e00396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00396>.
11. Zhang Bo-Bo, Lu Li-Ping, Xu Gan-Rong Why solid-state fermentation is more advantageous over submerged fermentation for converting high concentration of glycerol into Monacolin K by *Monascus*

- purpureus* 9901: A mechanistic study. *J Biotechnol*, **2015**, 206, 60-65, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2015.04.011>
12. Pascual S, De Cal A, Magan N, Melgarejo P Surface hydrophobicity, viability and efficacy in biological control of *Penicillium oxalicum* spores produced in aerial and submerged culture. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **2000**, 89, 847–853.
 13. de Oliveira Mendes G; Galvez A; Vassileva M; Vassilev N., Fermentation liquid containing microbially solubilized P significantly improved plant growth and P uptake in both soil and soilless experiments, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, **2017**, 117–118, 208-211, doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.05.008.
 14. Vassilev N, Vassileva M, Azcon R, Fenice M, Federici F, Barea JM Fertilizing effect of microbially treated olive mill wastewater on Trifolium plants. *Biores. Technol.*, **1998**, 66,133-137, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(97\)00141-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(97)00141-7).
 15. Kapinusova G, Lopez Marin MA and Uhlik O. Reaching unreachables: Obstacles and successes of microbial cultivation and their reasons. *Front. Microbiol.*, **2023**, 14:1089630. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1089630.
 16. Vassilev, N., Vassileva, M., Azcon, R., Medina A. Preparation of gel-entrapped mycorrhizal inoculum in the presence or absence of *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2001, **23**, 907–909. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010599618627>
 17. Vassilev N, Vassileva M, Martos V, Garcia del Moral LF, Kowalska J, Tylkowski B., Malusá E, Formulation of Microbial Inoculants by Encapsulation in Natural Polysaccharides: Focus on Beneficial Properties of Carrier Additives and Derivatives. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, 11:270. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00270
 18. Devi, R., Kaur, T., Kour, D., Yadav, A., Yadav, A. N., Suman, A., Ahluwalia, A. S., Saxena, A. K. Minerals solubilizing and mobilizing microbiomes: A sustainable approach for managing minerals' deficiency in agricultural soil. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **2022**, 133, 1245–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15627>
 19. Vassileva, M.; Martos, V.; del Moral, L.F.G.; Vassilev, N. Effect of the Mode of Fermentation on the Behavior of *Penicillium bilaiae* in Conditions of Abiotic Stress. *Microorganisms* **2023**, *11*, 1064. [doi.10.3390/microorganisms11041064](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11041064)
 20. Michel-Mata, Sebastian, Xu-Wen Wang, Yang-Yu Liu, and Marco Tulio Angulo. "Predicting Microbiome Compositions from Species Assemblages Through Deep Learning." *iMeta*, **2022**.1, e3. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.3>
 21. Hurt H Healthy soils for healthy plants for healthy humans. *EMBO reports*, **2020**, 21, e51069.
 22. Nottingham, A. T., Fierer, N., Turner, B. L., Whitaker, J., Ostle, N. J., McNamara, N. P., Bardgett, R. D., Leff, J. W., Salinas, N., Silman, M., Kruuk, L. E. G., & Meir, P. Microbes follow Humboldt: temperature drives plant and soil microbial diversity patterns from the Amazon to the Andes. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, **2019**, 100 (1), 1–4. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26554320>
 23. Delgado-Baquerizo M., Bardgett R.D., Vitousek P.M., Maestre F.T., Williams M.A., Eldridge D.J., Lambers H., (...) Fierer N. Changes in belowground biodiversity during ecosystem development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **2019** 116, pp. 6891-6896.
 24. Mocali, S., Gelsomino, A., Nannipieri, P., Pastorelli, R., Giagnoni, L., Petrovicova, B., & Renella, G.. Short-term resilience of soil microbial communities and functions following severe environmental changes. *Agriculture*, **2022**, 12(2), 268.
 25. Walsh C, Vanderburgh C, Grant L, Katz E, Kliebenstein DJ, Fierer N. Microbial terroir: associations between soil microbiomes and the flavor chemistry of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *New Phytologist*. **2024**, 243 (5) doi: [10.1111/nph.19708](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19708).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.